

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Southern District of Ohio

United States of America

v.

Danny Burgin

Date of Previous Judgment: April 7, 2003

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

)
)
) Case No: 1:02-CR-088
) USM No: 03605-061
) Pro Se Defendant
) Defendant's Attorney

2008 MAY 29 AM 9:57
 U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 WESTERN DIVISION
 FILED
 CLERK'S OFFICE

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____ Amended Offense Level: _____
 Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____
 Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
 The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
 Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Defendant's statutory mandatory minimum sentence imposed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§922(g)(1) and 924(e) is not affected by the Guidelines amendment, as his sentencing range would not differ under the new Guideline applicable to 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B)(iii) for which Defendant was sentenced to a concurrent term of imprisonment.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated April 7, 2003 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.Order Date: 5/28/08


Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)Sandra S. Beckwith, Chief Judge

Printed name and title